

Lecture Capture Review Committee
October 29, 2019 Minutes

Those in attendance: Troy Carroll, Dawn Ford, Natalie Haber, Matt Matthews, Rodger Ling, Amy Haun, Sarah Canatsey, Donnie Behneman, Titus Albu, Ahad Nasab

I. Welcome and Recap from previous meetings (Troy Carroll)

Met twice so far.

LC Committee webpage: <https://www.utc.edu/walker-center-teaching-learning/lecture-capture-review.php>

Conducted faculty and student surveys in spring: results available on website (above)
Group decided to pilot lecture capture solutions moving forward – summer (Yuja), fall (Panopto), and spring (Kaltura)

A draft of lecture capture policy was presented to committee by a work group

II. Discuss Progress of Vendor Demos and Participation

Yuja-- proprietary hardware; we set up a trial with them. Integrated with Canvas. Rodger's feedback: looks fine; nothing stands out about it. Seems unpolished, unfinished. Some issues with recordings disappearing. Sent us a hardware recorder to test.

Panopto-- In pilot mode. Much more polished! Integrated with Canvas. Feature-rich, no problems with functionality. Easy to use. Automatically captions everything. Students can add comments, it's searchable. Any computer/any hardware. Cloud based storage; pay per usage. TC very positive feedback.

Faculty feedback: good for recording on the spot, in house, quick; perhaps not great for editing videos to make them professional quality.

Kaltura: Captions on the spot. Pilot will start in the Spring.

Other options: most faculty are encouraged to use Canvas Studio for desktop recording, Camtasia for more advanced editing.

III. Sonic Foundry/Mediasite Update

Captioning solution (IBM Watson) now integrated: 2 cents per minute, ~80% accuracy. We need to test it. Ultimately, there are a lot of issues with overhead and time.

Mediasite said it will take 2 hours to get us integrated with Canvas. Panopto integration with Canvas was fairly straightforward and took about 20 minutes.

IV. Classroom Hardware Updates

Questions: What's the state of updates in the rooms? What are current stages of the rooms?

We can choose any software, but it will come back to the hardware in the rooms.

Lupton: there's some budgeting available for new classrooms; hired a tech consultant

Faculty feedback: basically, they say that it all comes down to the equipment, lighting, student workers, etc are lacking and there's a difference in different buildings on campus.

Basically- this is a big undertaking. Question: Is this part of this committee? Likely not, there are other groups and a newly forming (not yet but soon) Technology Review Board; the Learning Environment Committee.

V. Discuss lecture capture review timeline

More trials in the Spring, decision before new contract w/Mediasite in July.

VI. Lecture capture policy update

Draft shared with the group; comments on updating language surrounding copyright and intellectual property. Suggestion: add 'student consent to be recorded' section. Work group will report back at next meeting regarding updating the language that aligns with both UT system policy and UTC policy on who owns instructional materials (institution vs. faculty).

VII. Open discussion

Exporting difficulties with Mediasite-- comes as file format only playable by Mediasite software. Is system thinking about changing?